* Will Harvey (APD)- Speaker
	+ 13 years on the force
	+ “Enjoy your time here, but let’s do it safely”
	+ (512) 974- 8123
	+ William.harvey@austintexas.gov
	+ Why would you get called…
		- Parking violations
		- Noise complaints
		- Public intoxication
	+ Top things get a party closed down (we don’t want to shut you down)
		- No one under 18 years old (shut down and jail… sudden death)
		- Drunks passed out and pushed aside (shut down and couldn’t get a permit for 6th months)
		- Lose control of the party (barreling over the party trying to get in, beer bottles thrown at police)
		- More than happy to help if people are honest (we have a problem and we need help…)
			* They will respect you and no repercussions on the fraternity
			* If someone is too drunk and needs help, call 911 (innocent bystander)
		- SAE has a long sanction with no permit, got hit by a beer thrown when police walked in
* SFL Messages
	+ Marylyn and Grace introductions
		- Grace- worked as IFC Advisor in Kansas
		- Do we have a relationship… let’s work together…
	+ Important Dates/Expectations/Information
		- Program Calendar
		- Good standing program (minimum expectations of being an engaged member to the community)
			* Some administrative
			* NEW: share philanthropic efforts
	+ Hazing Prevention:
		- Not just about being on campus. It is one person or group of people
			* Subset of the group that has an idea that has gotten out of control
			* Endangerment to physical and mental health (compromise success on campus with school and in life)—it has evolved (mental health)
		- Maintaining officers and traditions
			* Adjudication is going to be based off the evolution
		- Fall 2016 (19 reports)
		- 2017 (35 reports)
		- Survey: (lots of data, refer to the sheet)
			* The term “hazing” wasn’t utilized until the middle of the survey, they simply talked about actions and behaviors first
			* 31% was aware of hazing activities before they joined
			* 30% posted about hazing in social media
		- Cultural norm on this campus (higher than many other similar institutions)
	+ Open question: ”how do we make up for a gap of knowledge about hazing”—especially as UT students are expecting it all to happen
		- First comment
			* Fact Member: What constitutes hazing. We have expanded the definition to things such as beer pong and large consumption. That is not hazing. There is a big difference between that and cattle prodding.
			* Marylyn response: context matters. We ask a lot of questions because we have to on behalf of the university. Scavenger hunt hazing?
			* Fact member: seemingly harmless behavior can be considered hazing when there is a cohorsive environment
		- Second comment:
			* Chapter president: lynch pin is endangerment. What is endangerment? The victim or the fraternity?
			* Marylyn response: good point, look more into it. But again, scenario or context that matters
		- Third Comment:
			* Fact member: cattle prod, calisthenics, forced consumption of anything0 we should eliminate
	+ Findings:
		- 70% not an effective way to create bonding
		- 94% do not need to be hazed to be able to belong to the group! Closed point to 100%-- feelings are not tied up to hazing
			* could be ok without the hazing aspect
	+ Comments from Fact member:
		- You can tell its hazing by the result
		- Every code constitutes zero hazing
		- Perfect example: ATO selling house and are officially never coming back
* Jud Horris—President of the NIC
	+ “it’s been a hard 2 years from all fraternities”
	+ What is the most important thing we can do to address problems
		- Software
		- Risk management
		- Hazing in a meaningful way
	+ Alcohol
		- By September 1 of next year all hard alcohol is officially banned at parties
			* People are going to hospital because of hard alcohol
			* 5,000 students have been asked and it is the simple thing to explain
		- reasonable strategy that keeps the most amount of people safe
		- something had to be done with the amount of people dying
	+ Social-Safe-software to have a great time and be more organized
		- Uber for parties (check in at the door), GPS is there. You know when they come and you know when they leave
		- Do we want to test it out?
			* Theory-- drink beer and throw organized parties
	+ Working with state legislator advocating for reasonable penalties and advocate on the students behalf
	+ Sigma Chi house dad remarks:
		- It worked at Sigma Chi… framed it as “it’s in our best interest”
* Banning hard alcohol discussion:
	+ Worried about extra pre-gaming…
		- What would you do if someone had been pre-gaming
	+ Liability exposure when you’re not the one providing
		- If you’re not serving the hard alcohol, you’re breaking the causation. You don’t become as liability and have to pay at the end of the day, but proof is hard
		- How do you prove where she/he brought the alcohol… you would hit every party that the person went to that night?
	+ What this rule does:
		- Minimize the risk/liability of hard alcohol problems... we are almost without insurance.
	+ Taking effort to minimize helps with our liability
		- Fact- 18 people have charges and are tainted for life
	+ Outcomes of misfortune
		- Florida state, LSU, Texas State, Penn state
			* If someone dies there needs to be a do-process when they do follow the rules –President of NIC
			* President of NIC- “a strong IFC (chapter presidents + Exec)” come together to make a change
				+ Advocate and own polices that is our rules not the universities rules
			* Alabama, Auburn and many “large football schools” are moving in the same direction
			* Rather drive the car the responding to something else
			* Presidents and vice presidents are coming together and saying we need to fix this
				+ Blending the enforcement with student leaders. Let’s find a common ground
* PROBLEM: we need everyone’s participation
	+ Encourage everyone to participate
* Discuss trashcan punch-
	+ Very good reason to not take responsibility to what goes in there
		- Most drugging situations come from this punch (Xanax)
		- No way to predict what Is actually
	+ Part of no hard alcohol is no trashcan punch
* University wants us to take charge of the agenda, not drive the charge
* IFC Agenda
	+ Peter Driscoll (IFC President)
		- “IFC has failed to do responsibilities. we are supposed to be the legitimacy of the rules within the community”
		- Agenda:
			* Hazing and Hard Alcohol
				+ Hazing- incredible double standard… you talk one thing and you do a different thing
				+ Higher standard for hard alcohol- inflection point of all of us starting to walk the walk
				+ Start working with FACT and the University
* 2:00 SAC meeting room on second floor- Risk management meeting